



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,323	. 12/07/2001	Hyoung Yoon Kim	P-0304	4253
34610 7	590 11/23/2005		. EXAMINER	
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP			SAMS, MATTHEW C	
P.O. BOX 221200 CHANTILLY, VA 20153			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·	VIC 20133		2643	
•				

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/005,323	KIM, HYOUNG YOON			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·					
	The MAILING DATE of this communica	Matthew C. Sams	2643			
Period fo			a mar are con coperacine adarese			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI nasions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuting to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMU 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma ication. cory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) I, by statute, cause the application to become	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>12 October 2005</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims	·				
5) <u></u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement				
Applicati	ion Papers					
•	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection	a) accepted or b) objected on to the drawing(s) be held in about	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	2474		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	· ·	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do					
•	2. Certified copies of the priority do					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of					
	application from the Internationa	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action t	for a list of the certified copies	not received.			
Attachmen		<u>"</u> П.	iou Cumman (DTO 440)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC		iew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date			
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office Action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.
- 2. This office action is in response to the after final amendment filed on 10/12/2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adkins (US 2005/0032543).

Regarding claim 1, Adkins teaches a system for utilizing a mobile communication terminal (Fig. 2 [28]) as a wireless handset comprising a personal computer (PC) (Fig. 2 [13]) adapted to access an Internet phone service (Page 2 [0036]) and a mobile communication terminal (Fig. 2 [28]) adapted to function as a wireless handset for the PC when the PC accesses the Internet phone service (Page 2 [0036]) where the mobile communication terminal (Fig. 2 [28]) comprises a built-in wireless communication capability to enable wireless communication between a plurality of communication devices. (Page 4 [0067]) Although Adkins does not teach a wireless headset, it would

Application/Control Number: 10/005,323

Art Unit: 2643

be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the functions of Adkins into a headset because Adkins handset already mobile which makes the design small, light and portable.

Regarding claim 2, Adkins teaches the PC comprises wireless communication abilities (Page 4 [0067]) configured to receive speech signals (Page 4 [0077]) from the mobile communication terminal (Fig. 2 [28]) and to transmit the received speech signal to a sound card of the PC. (Fig. 3 [10, 13 & 70])

Regarding claim 3, Adkins teaches the mobile communication terminal (Fig. 2 [28]) comprises a speaker (Fig. 2 [27]), a microphone (Fig. 2 [29]), and a wireless communication device (Page 4 [0067]) configured to transmit a speech signal (Page 4 [0067 & 0077]) inputted from the microphone (Fig. 2 [29]) to the PC (Fig. 2 [13]) using a wireless communication protocol (Page 4 [0067]) and to output the speech signal received from the PC to the speaker. (Fig. 2 [27])

Regarding claim 4, Adkins teaches a system for utilizing a mobile communication terminal (Fig. 2 [28]) as a wireless handset comprising a mobile communication terminal with a built-in wireless communication (Page 4 [0067 & 0077]) capability configured to enable wireless communication between a plurality of communication devices (Page 3 [0048] & Page 4 [0067]) where the mobile communication terminal is configured to function as a wireless handset of the PC when the PC has access to the Internet phone service (Page 3 [0048]) and the mobile communication terminal comprises a speaker (Fig. 2 [27]), a microphone (Fig. 2 [29]) and a wireless communication device (Page 4 [0067]) configured to transmit speech signals from the microphone (Fig. 2 [29]) to the

Art Unit: 2643

PC (Fig. 2 [13]) using a wireless communication protocol (Page 4 [0067]) and to output the speech signal received from the PC to the speaker. (Fig. 2 [27]) Although Adkins does not teach a wireless headset, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the functions of Adkins into a headset because Adkins handset already mobile which makes the design small, light and portable.

Regarding claim 5, Adkins teaches a mobile communication terminal (Fig. 2 [28]) as a wireless handset comprising setting an operation mode of the mobile communication terminal (Page 4 [0070]), determining whether or not the set-operating mode is a headset mode (Page 4 [0070]), adjusting input/output ports of the mobile communication terminal (Fig. 2 [28]) if the set operating mode is a headset mode (Page 4 [0063]), and transmitting a speech signal from a microphone (Fig. 2 [29]) of the mobile communication terminal (Fig. 2 [28]) to a personal computer (Fig. 2 [13]) via a wireless communication device of the mobile communication terminal. (Page 4 [0067] and Fig. 3)

Regarding claim 6, Adkins teaches accessing an Internet phone service (Page 2 [0036]) after the PC (Fig. 2 [13]) receives the speech signal from the mobile communication terminal (Fig. 2 [28]). (Page 4 [0068 & 0077])

Regarding claim 7, Adkins teaches performing a normal wireless telephone call service if the set operating mode is a general call mode. (Page 3 [0048] and Page 4 [0070])

Regarding claim 8, Adkins teaches a built in wireless communication capability of the mobile communication terminal (Fig. 2 [28]) is compatible with a built in wireless communication capability of the PC (Fig. 2 [13]). (Page 4 [0067])

Page 5

Regarding claim 9, Adkins teaches a built in wireless communication capabilities of the mobile communication terminal (Page 4 [0067] and Fig. 3) and the PC (Fig. 2 [13]) are compatible with a predetermined wireless communication protocol. (Page 4 [0067])

Regarding claim 10, Adkins teaches the built in wireless communication capabilities of the mobile communication terminal (Fig. 2 [28]) and the PC (Fig. 2 [13]) and the predetermined wireless communication protocol (Page 4 [0067]) are configured to enable wireless communication amongst a plurality of predetermined components positioned within a given proximity of one another. (Page 4 [0067])

Regarding claim 11, Adkins teaches input/output ports of the mobile communication terminal (Fig. 2 [28]) are configured to be adjusted based on an operating mode selected from a plurality of operating modes of the mobile communication terminal. (Page 4 [0063])

Regarding claim 12, Adkins teaches a plurality of operating modes of the mobile communication terminal (Fig. 2 [28]) comprises a headset mode and a general call mode. (Page 3 [0048] & Page 4 [0070])

Regarding claim 13, Adkins teaches a PC (Fig. 2 [13]) is configured to access the Internet phone service through an Internet network. (Page 2 [0036] & Page 4 [0067])

Regarding claim 14, the limitations of claim 14 are rejected as the same reason set forth above in claim 10.

Regarding claim 15, the limitations of claim 15 are rejected as the same reason set forth above in claim 8.

Regarding claim 16, the limitations of claim 16 are rejected as the same reason set forth above in claim 11.

Regarding claim 17, the limitations of claim 17 are rejected as the same reason set forth above in claim 12.

Regarding claim 18, the limitations of claim 18 are rejected as the same reason set forth above in claim 13.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US-6,006,115 to Wingate regarding wireless headphones for entertainment and telephonic communication.

US-5,982,904 to Eghtesadi et al. regarding a wireless headset.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,323 Page 7

Art Unit: 2643

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew C. Sams whose telephone number is (571)272-8099. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571)272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MCS 11/14/2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT COMMENTS